



ELSEVIER

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 98 (1995) 57–65

JOURNAL OF
PURE AND
APPLIED ALGEBRA

p -Adic holomorphy rings and Kochen rings

Cristina Maria Marques*, Otto Endler[†]

*Departamento de Matemática, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Caixa Postal 702,
30.161-970, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil*

Communicated by M.-F. Roy; received 20 December 1993

Abstract

The p -adic analogue of the real holomorphy ring is defined. With the introduction of the Kochen ring we obtain properties of the p -adic holomorphy ring which are similar to certain properties of the real holomorphy ring, proved by Schülting (1979).

0. Introduction

This paper deals with the p -adic analogue of the real holomorphy ring $H_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$, defined as the intersection of the valuation rings belonging to real places $\mathcal{P}: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. The analogy is to be understood in a wide sense, namely that \mathbb{R} is to be replaced not only by the p -adic number field \mathbb{Q}_p but by an arbitrary finite extension E of \mathbb{Q}_p . We show that $H_E(K)$ has properties which are similar to certain properties of $H_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$, proved by Schülting [6].

In the case of an *unramified* extension E of \mathbb{Q}_p , a proof was given in [1], using the Kochen ring of type $(1, f)$ as defined in [4]. The proof in the general case was obtained later by Roquette [5] who, for this purpose, introduced the Kochen ring $R_E(K)$ of type E . This notion seems to be of interest for its own sake and can be considered as a valuable complement to [4, Section 6].

After studying the relationship between p -valuations and p -adic places in Section 1, we reduce in Section 2 the proof of the properties of $H_E(K)$ to a condition which states that a certain set of p -valuations is “saturated”. The fact that this condition is always satisfied turns out to be a consequence of properties of the Kochen ring $R_E(K)$, which are proved in Section 3.

Notations. For any (Krull) valuation v of K , we denote by $\theta_v, \cdot, \mathcal{H}_v, vK, K_v$ its valuation ring, maximal ideal, value group, residue field, respectively. $\theta_{\mathcal{P}}, \cdot, \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{P}}$ and $K_{\mathcal{P}}$ have similar meanings with respect to a place \mathcal{P} of K .

*Corresponding author.

[†]Deceased on 05/12/1988.

For any finite extension E of \mathbb{Q}_p , the subscript E in the notations θ_E , $\cdot \mathcal{H}_E$, etc. refers to the unique prolongation v_E to E of the p -adic valuation v_p of \mathbb{Q}_p , whose valuation ring is denoted by \mathbb{Z}_p .

1. p -valuations and p -adic places

Let K be a field of characteristic zero. A valuation v of K is called a p -valuation, if there are integers $e_r \geq 1$ and $f_r \geq 1$ with the following properties: There exist exactly e_r elements $\gamma \in vK$ such that $0 < \gamma \leq vp$, and f_r is the degree of K_r over its prime field. It is obvious that in this case K_r is a field of p^{f_r} elements and that $vp = e_r \cdot v\pi$, where $v\pi$ is the smallest positive element of vK ; moreover, $\mathbb{Z} \cdot v\pi$ is the smallest nontrivial convex subgroup of vK (and is equal to vK if and only if v has rank one).

We recall that, for any convex subgroup Δ of vK , the valuation v of K decomposes in a valuation \hat{v} of K , defined by

$$\hat{v}a = va + \Delta \quad (a \in K \setminus \{0\}),$$

with value group $\hat{v}K = vK/\Delta$ and valuation ring $\theta_{\hat{v}} \supseteq \theta_v$, and a valuation \hat{v} of the residue field K_r of \hat{v} , defined by

$$\hat{v}(a + \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\hat{v}}) = va \quad (a \in \theta_v),$$

with value group Δ , valuation ring $\theta_v/\cdot \mathcal{H}_{\hat{v}}$ and residue field isomorphic to K_r . On the other hand, for any couple of valuations w of K and u of K_w , there is a unique valuation v of K (up to equivalence) such that $w = \hat{v}$ and $u = \hat{v}$. If Δ is the smallest nontrivial convex subgroup of vK , the \hat{v} and \hat{v} are called the *coarse* and the *central* valuation, respectively, belonging to v . In particular, if v is a p -valuation of K and $\Delta = \mathbb{Z} \cdot v\pi$, then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1.1. (a) $\theta_{\hat{v}}$ is equal to the ring of fractions $(\theta_v)_M$, where $M = \{p^j | j \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
 (b) \hat{v} is a p -valuation of rank one with $e_{\hat{v}} = e_v$ and $f_{\hat{v}} = f_v$.

Proof. (a) Since $p^{-1} \in \theta_v \setminus \theta_{\hat{v}}$ and $\theta_{\hat{v}}$ is the smallest subring S of K such that $\theta_v \cup \{p^{-1}\} \subseteq S$, we have $\theta_{\hat{v}} \subseteq (\theta_v)_M$. The equality holds since $\hat{v}(a \cdot p^{-j}) = \hat{v}a \geq 0$ for all $a \in \theta_v$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

(b) Since the residue field of \hat{v} is isomorphic to K_r , we have $f_{\hat{v}} = f_v$. Since $\hat{v}(\pi^j + \cdot \mathcal{H}_{\hat{v}}) = j \cdot v\pi$ ($1 \leq j \leq e_v$) are the only positive elements of $\hat{v}K_r$ which are not larger than $\hat{v}p = vp$, we have $e_{\hat{v}} = e_v$. Moreover, $\hat{v}K_r = \mathbb{Z} \cdot v\pi$ has rank one. \square

Let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . Then v_E is a p -valuation of rank one, and E is a totally ramified (hence Eisenstein) extension of \mathbb{Q}_{p, f_E} of degree e_E , where $\mathbb{Q}_{p, f}$ denotes the unique unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p of degree f . Moreover, (E, v_E) is complete, and any valued field (K, v) , such that v is a p -valuation of rank one, has

a completion of the form (E, v_E) with $e_r = e_E$ and $f_r = f_E$. By part (b) the Proposition 1.1, the same is true for the central valuation \hat{v} belonging to an arbitrary p -valuation v of K . This fact enables us to define the “type” of a p -valuation as follows.

We say that a p -valuation v of K is of type E , if E contains an isomorphic image of the completion of $(K_{\hat{r}}, \hat{v})$. In this case, e_r divides e_E and f_r divides f_E , and the canonical homomorphism from $\theta_{\hat{r}}$ onto $K_{\hat{r}}$ obviously extends to a place $\mathcal{P}: K \rightarrow E \cup \{\infty\}$. More precisely we have the following proposition.

Proposition 1.2. *For any p -valuation v of K of type E there exists a place $\mathcal{P}: K \rightarrow E \cup \{\infty\}$ such that $\theta_{\mathcal{P}} = \theta_{\hat{r}}$.*

We are going to prove that also the converse is true.

Proposition 1.3. *For any place $\mathcal{P}: K \rightarrow E \cup \{\infty\}$, where E is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , there exists a p -valuation v of K of type E such that $\theta_{\hat{r}} = \theta_{\mathcal{P}}$.*

Proof. We may assume that $K_{\mathcal{P}} \subseteq E$; then $A = \theta_E \cap K_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a valuation ring of $K_{\mathcal{P}}$ of rank one, and therefore, $\mathcal{P}^{-1}A$ is a valuation ring of K which is strictly contained in $\theta_{\mathcal{P}}$ and is maximal with respect to this property. Let v be a valuation of K with $\theta_r = \mathcal{P}^{-1}A$; then vK has a smallest nontrivial convex subgroup Δ , and $\theta_{\mathcal{P}}, A$ are the valuation rings of \hat{v}, \hat{v} , respectively. Obviously, \hat{v} is a p -valuation of rank one; therefore, $\Delta = \mathbb{Z} \cdot v\pi$ for some $\pi \in H_r \setminus H_{\hat{r}}$ such that $\hat{v}(\mathcal{P}\pi^j) = v\pi^j$ ($j = 1, \dots, e_r$) are the only elements $\gamma \in \Delta$ with $0 < \gamma \leq \hat{v}(\mathcal{P}p) = v\pi$. They are also the only elements in vK with this property, since Δ is a convex subgroup of vK . Moreover, v and \hat{v} have isomorphic residue fields; therefore, v is a p -valuation. Since (E, v_E) contains a completion of $(K_{\mathcal{P}}, \hat{v})$, v is of type E . \square

2. The p -adic holomorphy ring $H_E(K)$

The real holomorphy ring $H_{\mathbb{R}} = H_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ of a field K is defined as the intersection $\bigcap_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}} \theta_{\mathcal{P}}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ denotes the set of all places $\mathcal{P}: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. We recall that $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is nonempty if and only if K is formally real, that is, K admits an ordering or, equivalently, $-1 \notin \Sigma K^2$. Even in this case we may have $H_{\mathbb{R}} = K$; in fact, this occurs if and only if all orderings of K are archimedean.

Denoting by $\alpha_{\mathbb{R}} = \alpha_{\mathbb{R}}(K)$ the set of all valuation rings of K with formally real residue field, Schülting [6] proved that for any formally real field K the following statements hold:

- (1 _{\mathbb{R}}) $H_{\mathbb{R}} = \bigcap_{\theta \in \alpha_{\mathbb{R}}} \theta$.
- (2 _{\mathbb{R}}) For any valuation ring θ of K , $H_{\mathbb{R}} \subseteq \theta$ implies $\theta \in \alpha_{\mathbb{R}}$.
- (3 _{\mathbb{R}}) $H_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{Q}[\{1/(1+q) \mid q \in \Sigma K^2\}] = \{a \in K \mid r \pm a \in \Sigma K^2 \text{ for some } r \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
- (4 _{\mathbb{R}}) $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a Prüfer ring of K .

Similarly, for any finite extension E of \mathbb{Q}_p , we introduce the p -adic holomorphy ring of K of type E , and we shall show that it has analogous properties.

We say that K is *formally p -adic of type E* , if K admits at least one p -valuation of type E . By Propositions 1.2 and 1.3, this occurs if and only if K admits at least one nontrivial place $\mathcal{P}: K \rightarrow E \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}_E = \mathcal{S}_E(K)$ be the set of all places of this type. The intersection $\bigcap_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{S}_E} \theta_{\mathcal{P}}$ is called the *p -adic holomorphy ring of K of type E* and will be denoted by $H_E = H_E(K)$. Note that $H_E = K$ if and only if all p -valuations of K of type E have rank one.

Let $\alpha_E = \alpha_E(K)$ be the set of all valuation rings of K whose residue field is formally p -adic of type E . From well-known facts about the composition of places (cf. [2, Section 8]) we conclude the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. *For any valuation ring θ of K we have $\theta \in \alpha_E$ if and only if $\theta_{\mathcal{P}} \subseteq \theta$ for some $\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{S}_E$. Therefore $\{\theta_{\mathcal{P}} \mid \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{S}_E\} \subseteq \alpha_E$ and*

$$(1_E) \quad H_E = \bigcap_{\theta \in \alpha_E} \theta.$$

A nonempty set α of valuation rings of a field K is called *saturated* if for any valuation ring θ of K , $\bigcap_{\theta' \in \alpha} \theta' \subseteq \theta$ implies that $\theta'' \subseteq \theta$ for some $\theta'' \in \alpha$. From Proposition 2.1 it follows that the analogue (2_E) of $(2_{\mathbb{R}})$ holds if and only if α_E is saturated, and this occurs if and only if $\{\theta_{\mathcal{P}} \mid \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{S}_E\}$ is saturated.

Concerning the analogue (3_E) or $(3_{\mathbb{R}})$, we consider the subrings $(\bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}} \theta_v)_M \subseteq \mathbb{Q} \cdot \bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}} \theta_v$ of K , where \mathcal{G} is the set $\mathcal{G}_E(K)$ of all p -valuations of K of type E .¹ Actually, these two rings are equal (since any prime number $p' \neq p$ is a unit in $\bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}} \theta_v$), and from the propositions of Section 1 we conclude that $\mathbb{Q} \cdot \bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}} \theta_v = (\bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}} \theta_v)_M \subseteq \bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}} (\theta_v)_M = \bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}} \theta_v = \bigcap_{\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{S}_E} \theta_{\mathcal{P}} = H_E$. Therefore the analogue (3_E) of $(3_{\mathbb{R}})$ is valid if and only if in this inclusion the equality holds.

Finally, the analogue of $(4_{\mathbb{R}})$ can be proved even in the following stronger form:

$$(4_E) \quad H_E \text{ is a Bezout ring of } K,$$

i.e., every finitely generated ideal of H_E is principal and K is the field of quotients of H_E . In fact, by the Principal Ideal Theorem (see 4, Section 6)], $\bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}} \theta_v$ is a Bezout ring of K (since $\#K_v \leq p^{f_v}$ for every $v \in \mathcal{G}$), and so is its over-ring $\bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}} \theta_v = H_E$.

In order to complete the analogy with the real case, we have still to prove that α_E is saturated and that $H_E = \mathbb{Q} \cdot \bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}} \theta_v$. The proof will be given here under the hypothesis that the set $\{\theta_v \mid v \in \mathcal{G}\}$ is saturated. Later, in Section 3, we shall prove that this hypothesis is satisfied for $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_E(K)$ whenever this set is nonempty.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that \mathcal{G} is saturated and let $R = \bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}} \theta_v$. Then*

$$(3_E) \quad H_E = \mathbb{Q} \cdot R = R_M, \text{ and}$$

$$(2_E) \quad \text{for any valuation ring } \theta \text{ of } K, H_E \subseteq \theta \text{ implies } \theta \in \alpha_E.$$

¹Instead of $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_E(K)$, we could consider any subset \mathcal{G} of $\mathcal{G}_E(K)$ which is sufficiently large in the sense that $\{\theta_v \mid v \in \mathcal{G}\} = \{\theta_{\mathcal{P}} \mid \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{S}_E\}$.

Proof. Since R is a Bezout ring of K , so is the over-ring $\mathbb{Q} \cdot R$. In particular, $\mathbb{Q} \cdot R$ is integrally closed and is therefore equal to $\bigcap_{\theta \in \mathbb{B}} \theta$, where \mathbb{B} is the set of all valuation rings of K which contain $\mathbb{Q} \cdot R$. For any $\theta \in \mathbb{B}$ we have $R \subseteq \theta$; therefore, by assumption, $\theta_v \subseteq \theta$ for some $v \in \mathcal{G}$. Actually $\theta_v \neq \theta$, since $p^{-1} \in \theta \setminus \theta_v$; therefore $\theta_v \subseteq \theta$. We conclude that $H_E \subseteq \bigcap_{\theta \in \mathbb{B}} \theta = \mathbb{Q} \cdot R$, hence (3_E) holds. Moreover, it follows that the set $\{\theta_v | v \in \mathcal{G}\} = \{\theta_{\mathcal{P}} | \mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{S}_E\}$ is saturated; therefore (2_E) is true. \square

3. The p -adic Kochen ring $R_E(K)$

For arbitrary integers $e \geq 1$ and $f \geq 1$, Prestel and Roquette introduced in [4, Section 6] the p -adic Kochen operator of type (e, f) as

$$\gamma_{e,f}(X) = \frac{1}{p} \cdot ((X^{p^e} - X) - (X^{p^f} - X)^{-1})^{-e}$$

and, for any field K of characteristic zero, the p -adic Kochen ring of type (e, f) as the ring $R_{e,f} = R_{e,f}(K)$ of quotients of the form $a/(1 + p \cdot b)$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}[\gamma_{e,f}(K) \setminus \{\infty\}]$ and $1 + p \cdot b \neq 0$.

This ring admits K as its field of quotients and is related to the p -valuations of K in the following way. Let $\mathcal{G}_{e,f} = \mathcal{G}_{e,f}(K)$ be the set of all p -valuations of K such that $e_v \leq e$ and f_v divides f ; then we have (cf. [4, 6.8 and 6.9]):

3.1. For any valuation v of K we have $v \in \mathcal{G}_{e,f}$ if and only if $R_{e,f} \subseteq \theta_v$ and $vp > 0$.

3.2. The integral closure of $R_{e,f}$ is equal to the intersection $\bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}_{e,f}} \theta_v$, which is a Bezout (and therefore a Prüfer) ring of K .

In the case $e = 1$, even the following equality holds (cf. [4, 6.14]):

3.3. $R_{1,f} = \bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}_{1,f}} \theta_v$.

Since $\mathcal{G}_{1,f}$ is equal to the set of all p -valuations of type $E = \mathbb{Q}_{p,f}$, it follows easily² the following corollary.

Corollary 3.4. In the case $E = \mathbb{Q}_{p,f}$, if K is formally p -adic of type E , then $\mathcal{G}_{1,f}$ is saturated and therefore satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2.

In this way, by the use of the Kochen ring $R_{1,f}(K)$, it was shown in [1] that, in the case $E = \mathbb{Q}_{p,f}$, for any field K which is formally p -adic of type E , the holomorphy ring $H_E(K)$ has the desired properties (2_E) and (3_E) . On the other hand, in the general case, it was not possible to use of the Kochen ring $R_{e,f}(K)$, since, in general, there exists $v \in \mathcal{G}_{e,f}$ which is not of type E (see [3]).

In order to deal with the general case, Roquette [5] introduced, for an arbitrary finite extension E of \mathbb{Q}_p , the notion of a Kochen ring of type E as a certain over-ring of $R_{e,f}(K)$. For its definition, the following facts are crucial.

²Actually, (3.3) is not needed for the proof of Corollary 3.4 (see the proof of Corollary 3.12).

Lemma 3.5. *For given integers $e \geq 1$ and $f \geq 1$, there exist only finitely many extensions E of \mathbb{Q}_p (within an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p) such that $e_E = e$ and $f_E = f$.*

Proof. See [3, p. 236] and the literature cited there. \square

Lemma 3.6. $\theta_E = \mathbb{Z}_p[\beta]$ for some algebraic integer β .

Proof. We have $\theta_E = \mathbb{Z}_p[\alpha]$ for some $\alpha \in \theta_E$, and $\theta_E = \mathbb{Z}_p[\beta]$ for any $\beta \in \theta_E$ such that $v_E(\beta - \alpha) \leq 2$ (cf. [7, 3–3]). By the continuity of polynomial roots, any monic polynomial $P \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ which is sufficiently proximate to the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q}_p has a root β of this kind, and from Krasner's lemma it follows that P is irreducible (cf. [2, Section 24]). In particular, P may be chosen in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$; then β is integral over \mathbb{Z} . \square

Let E be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . We choose (e, f) such that $e_E \leq e$ and f_E divides f , and we denote by E_1, \dots, E_r those finite extensions of \mathbb{Q}_p for which $e_{E_i} \leq e, f_{E_i}$ divides f ($i = 1, \dots, r$) and which cannot be imbedded in E . Finally, for $i = 1, \dots, r$ we choose an algebraic integer β_i such that $\theta_{E_i} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\beta_i]$ and denote by F the product $P_1 \dots P_r \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ of the minimal polynomials P_i of β_i over \mathbb{Q} . In the case $r = 0$ (which occurs if and only if $e_E = e = 1$ and $f_E = f$) we set $F = 1$.

Lemma 3.7. *There is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $v_E(F(a)) \leq k \cdot v_{Ep}$ for all $a \in E$.*

Proof. Since θ_E is compact, there would otherwise be a sequence in θ_E which converges to a zero α of F , that is, a zero of P_i for some $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Therefore $\beta_i \rightarrow \alpha$ would define an imbedding of $E_i = \mathbb{Q}_p[\beta_i]$ into E , which is impossible. \square

Choosing an integer $k \in \mathbb{N}$ which satisfies Lemma 3.7, we consider the operator

$$\delta_E(X) = \frac{p^k}{F(X)}$$

and, for any field K , we denote by $\delta_E K$ the set of elements $\delta_E(a)$ such that $a \in K$ and $F(a) \neq 0$. By means of $\delta_E K$, the p -valuations of type E can be characterized within $\mathcal{G}_{e,f}$.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $v \in \mathcal{G}_{e,f}$; then v is of type E if and only if $\delta_E K \subseteq \theta_v$.*

Proof. Let κ be the canonical homomorphism from $\theta_{\hat{v}}$ onto $K_{\hat{v}}$.

(a) Let v be of type E ; so we may assume $K_{\hat{v}} \subseteq E$. For any $a \in \theta_{\hat{v}}$ we have $F(a) \in \theta_{\hat{v}}$ and, by Lemma 3.7, $v(F(a)) = v_E(F(\kappa a)) \leq k \cdot v_{Ep} = k \cdot v_p$; therefore $v(\delta_E(a)) \geq 0$.

Actually, this inequality holds for all $a \in K$; in fact, if $a \notin \theta_r$ then $va < 0$, $v(F(a)) < 0$ and therefore $v(\delta_E(a)) = k \cdot vp - v(F(a)) > 0$.

(b) Assume that v is not of type E ; then the completion of (K_r, \hat{v}) cannot be imbedded in (E, v_E) and is therefore of the form (E_j, v_{E_j}) for some $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Since β_j is a root of F , we have $v_{E_j}(F(\alpha)) > k \cdot v_{E_j}(p)$ for all $\alpha \in E_j$ which are sufficiently proximate to β_j . Since K_r is dense in E_j , we can choose $\alpha = \kappa a$ for some $a \in \theta_r$ with $F(\alpha) \neq 0$. We conclude that $v(F(a)) = \hat{v}(F(\alpha)) > k \cdot vp$ and $F(a) \neq 0$; therefore $\delta_E(a) \in \delta_E K$ and $v(\delta_E(a)) < 0$. \square

In order to characterize the p -valuations of type E within the set of all valuations of K , we need the p -adic Kochen ring of type E , denoted by $R_E = R_E(K)$ and defined as the ring of all quotients of the form $a/(1 + p \cdot b)$, with $a, b \in R_{e,f}[\delta_E K]$ and $1 + p \cdot b \neq 0$. Note that this ring depends not only on E but also on the choice of $e, f, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_r$ and k , and that $R_E = R_{e,f}$ in the case $r = 0$, $e = e_E, f = f_E$.

Let $\mathcal{G}_E = \mathcal{G}_E(K)$ be the set of all p -valuations of K of type E ; obviously $\mathcal{G}_E \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{e,f}$. For the set \mathcal{G}_E the following analogue of 3.1 holds.

Theorem 3.9. *For any valuation v of K we have $v \in \mathcal{G}_E$ if and only if $R_E \subseteq \theta_v$ and $vp > 0$.*

Proof. If $v \in \mathcal{G}_E$, then $v \in \mathcal{G}_{e,f}$, hence $R_{e,f} \subseteq \theta_v$ and $vp > 0$ by 3.1; from Proposition 3.8 it follows that $R_E \subseteq \theta_v$. On the other hand, if $R_E \subseteq \theta_v$ and $vp > 0$, then $R_{e,f} \subseteq \theta_v$, hence $v \in \mathcal{G}_{e,f}$, by 3.1. Suppose that $v \notin \mathcal{G}_E$; then $\delta_E K \not\subseteq \theta_v$ by Proposition 3.8, contradicting $R_E \subseteq \theta_v$. \square

In the following corollary it can be considered as an analogue of [4, 6.7 and 6.8]. It characterizes those prime ideals of R_E which occur as a center of some $v \in \mathcal{G}_E$.

Corollary 3.10. *K is formally p -adic of type E (i.e. \mathcal{G}_E is nonempty) if and only if $R_E \neq K$. In this case, for any prime ideal \mathfrak{G} of R_E , the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) \mathfrak{G} is a maximal ideal of R_E ;
- (ii) $p \in \mathfrak{G}$;
- (iii) $\mathfrak{G} = \mathcal{H}_v \cap R_E$ for some $v \in \mathcal{G}_E$;

in particular, p is contained in the Jacobson radical of R_E .

Proof. If \mathcal{G}_E is nonempty, say $v \in \mathcal{G}_E$, then by Theorem 3.9, p is a nonunit in θ_v and therefore also in the subring R_E ; hence $R_E \neq K$.

Assume that $R_E \neq K$. We claim that p is a nonunit in $S = R_{e,f}[\delta_E K]$. In fact; suppose p is a unit, and let $s \in S$ be arbitrary. Then $s/p - 1/p = d_s$ when $d_s \in S$, and hence $s = 1 + pd_s$; it follows that the quotient field of S is contained in R_E , a contradiction.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Since p is a nonunit in S , we have $1 + p \cdot c \neq 0$ for all $c \in S$. Hence $1 + pc \in R_E^*$ by the definition of R_E , and therefore $1 + pc \notin \mathfrak{G}$. Suppose that $p \notin \mathfrak{G}$; then $p \cdot z \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{G}}$ for some $z \in R_E$, say $z = a/(1 + p \cdot b)$ with $a, b \in S$; therefore $1 + p \cdot (b - a) \in \mathfrak{G}$, a contradiction.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): By the extension theorem (cf. [2, (9.7)]), there is a valuation v of K such that $R_E \subseteq \theta_v$ and $\mathfrak{G} = \mathcal{M}_v \cap R_E$; therefore $v \in \mathcal{G}_E$, by Theorem 3.9.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Since R_E/\mathfrak{G} is contained in the finite field K_v , R_E/\mathfrak{G} is a field, too, and therefore \mathfrak{G} is a maximal ideal of R_E .

Finally, from the existence of maximal ideals we conclude that \mathcal{G}_E is nonempty. \square

It is well known that the integral closure R' of any subring R of K is equal to the intersection $\bigcap_{\theta \in \mathbb{B}} \theta$, where \mathbb{B} is the set of valuation rings θ of K such that $R \subseteq \theta$ and $\mathcal{M} \cap R$ is a maximal ideal of R (cf. [2, (10.8)]). From Theorem 3.9, Corollary 3.10 and from 3.2 and the inclusion $R_{e,f} \subseteq R_E$ we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.11. *The integral closure R'_E of R_E is equal to the intersection $\bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}_E} \theta_v$, which is a Bezout (and therefore a Prüfer) ring of K .*

We are now able to generalize Corollary 3.4 to an arbitrary finite extension E of \mathbb{Q}_p .

Corollary 3.12. *If K is formally p -adic of type E , then $\mathcal{G}_E(K)$ is saturated and therefore satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2.*

Proof. Let θ be a valuation ring of K which contains the ring $S = \bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{G}_E} \theta_v$, and let \mathcal{M} be a maximal ideal of S which contains $\mathfrak{G} = \mathcal{M}_\theta \cap S$; then $S_\mathcal{M} = \theta_{v_1}$ for some valuation v_1 of K with $S \subseteq \theta_{v_1} \subseteq \theta$ and $\mathcal{M}_{v_1} \cap S = \mathcal{M}$ (cf. [2, Section 11]). Since $S = R'_E$ by Corollary 3.11, $\mathcal{M}_{v_1} \cap R_E = \mathcal{M} \cap R_E$ is a maximal ideal of R_E by Corollary 3.10, hence $v_1 p > 0$. From Theorem 3.9 we conclude that $v_1 \in \mathcal{G}_E$. Therefore, \mathcal{G}_E is saturated. \square

This corollary allows us to complete Section 3 by the following theorem.

Theorem 3.13. *If K is formally p -adic of type E , then $H_E(K)$ satisfies (1_E) , (2_E) , (3_E) , (4_E) and, in particular, is equal to $\mathbb{Q} \cdot R'_E(K)$.*

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Professor Roquette for his permission to use his unpublished note [5].

References

- [1] C.M.M. Dutra, O anel de holomorfia p -ádico, Inform. Mat. Sér. F. IMPA-RJ (1985).
- [2] O. Endler, Valuation Theory, Universitext (Springer, Berlin, 1972).
- [3] W. Narkiewicz, Elementary and Analytic Theory of Algebraic Numbers (Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1974).
- [4] A. Prestel and P. Roquette, Formally p -adic fields, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1050 (Springer, Berlin, 1984).
- [5] P. Roquette, Kochen-Ring R_E , unpublished (letter to O. Endler, 1985).
- [6] H.W. Schülting, Über die Erzeugendenanzahl invertierbarer Ideale in Prüferingen. Comm. Algebra 7 (1979) 1331–1349.
- [7] E. Weiss, Algebraic Number Theory (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963).